

The proposed changes • Will not apply to students already attending an option school as of June 2018. • Will apply to students seeking - admissions to an option school OR a transfer to another neighborhood school - Effective for 2018-19 and subsequent school years

Strengths	Challenges	Other thoughts
Advance notice is important	No concerns	
Seems equitable, grandfathering seems good.		How does this effect science focus? Is it a choice or neighborhood school as far as sibling transfers go.
		seems reasonable as long as it's tied to redistricting around new schools.
		sibling preference may still inhibit access to Claremont and Campbell for families outside the current zone where most of the students are generated---may just take some time for it all to level out; but as those #s begin to dwindle, APS needs to ensure others are aware of the programs and start applying for them when they have a better chance of getting into them
yes, good idea		
This makes sense. Most of the kids who might want to get into the higher grades at the immersion program might not be able to meet the language requirements anyways.		
Yes, makes sense	Does this mean some kids must change from Key to Claremont or vice-versa in 2018-2019?	

<p>The proposed changes • Will not apply to students already attending an option school as of June 2018. • Will apply to students seeking - admissions to an option school OR a transfer to another neighborhood school - Effective for 2018-19 and subsequent school years</p>		
<p>Gives parents time to adjust if need be as adjustments (i.e., day care/after school programs) sometimes take time to implement due to all the waiting lists</p>		<p>May impact parents with children that are already "grandfathered" but siblings that are just coming on board post-June 2018. May want to create a bigger "grandfathering" clause as otherwise parents may be forced to drive students to multiple different schools or institute more busing, which looks like you're trying to cut down on...</p>
<p>This is strong and important to current families</p>		
<p>Sounds fine.</p>		
<p>Do it!</p>	<p>You're going to get pushback from folks living in "preferred zones." Resist the urge to offer them special deals. This is LONG overdue.</p>	<p>Don't wait until 2018 to offer bus service to the cohort of Key kids who live in the Claremont zone. Set up centralized bus stops and we'll get ourselves there! (I drive next to a Key-bound bus almost every day while taking my son to school! Let us on, please!))</p>
		<p>In the context of this assertion, is ASFS an "option school" or a "neighborhood school"?</p>
<p>None -- I still can't tell if this applies to my situation.</p>	<p>It appears that no there is no definition of what you mean by an "options school" and no list of the schools the term refers to.</p>	<p>See above. This is more confusing that it needs to be.</p>
<p>Makes sense</p>		

The proposed changes • Will not apply to students already attending an option school as of June 2018. • Will apply to students seeking - admissions to an option school OR a transfer to another neighborhood school - Effective for 2018-19 and subsequent school years		
Fine		
		Indifferent
		Explicitly ensure that currently enrolled children are grandfathered into their current Elementary school.
Yes, Yes, please grandfather the kids already in the system.	Siblings within 3 years should also be grandfathered.	People generally seem content once they are in a school system and invested in it. To tear anybody out of the systems by not grandfathering families will cause you unlimited headaches. No logical reason to not grandfather during the transitions.
	Need to give people time to move if they need to and apply to rules under the current system. Do not have these rules apply until at least 2019-2020.	
	Does not allow for siblings of transfer students to be in the same school at the elementary age.	Siblings should be grandfathered in.
		All students currently enrolled in Transfer School (including lottery students) and siblings should be grandfathered in and allowed to continue at current school

The proposed changes • Will not apply to students already attending an option school as of June 2018. • Will apply to students seeking - admissions to an option school OR a transfer to another neighborhood school - Effective for 2018-19 and subsequent school years

Gives parents time to make a decision about Fall enrollment for their kids	Siblings of those currently enrolled should be grandfathered in as well. When you have invested in a school for many years, it's a negative for the families and the schools to not grant this as an option.	
no objections		
I agree with this. We must protect our current students. No matter what changes they should be able to stay at their school.	siblings must be allowed to attend the same school whether they start June 2018 or later. Transportation must be provided for these families.	
	This is not long enough for a gap. Real estate has been purchased in certain areas because of the schools associated with it. Home values will change drastically, causing an uproar in the real estate market.	
		Must apply immediately. ASFS is going to be 200+% above capacity if existing Jamestown and Taylor lotteries are allowed to remain.
	Makes it harder for future parents and community members to walk and enjoy the neighborhood feel.	

<p>The proposed changes • Will not apply to students already attending an option school as of June 2018. • Will apply to students seeking - admissions to an option school OR a transfer to another neighborhood school - Effective for 2018-19 and subsequent school years</p>		
<p>Changes should not disrupt the current ecosystem. As long as parents are informed, in advance this is an acceptable plan. = it has to start somewhere and disrupt as few families as possible.</p>		
		<p>This is long overdue. This should be done ASAP, which likely is 2018-2019.</p>
		<p>Need to grandfather in younger siblings of existing families</p>
<p>does not disrupt existing students. allows time to communicate the process/guidance</p>	<p>none</p>	
<p>good to give some warning.</p>		<p>There is never a perfect time and someone will always get the short end of the stick</p>
<p>fair to already enrolled students</p>		
		<p>Why are some changes, such as removing the guarantee of the clusters- not being considered for 2017-2018? The immersion schools (especially Claremont) NEED relief and the program isn't designed to accommodate the level of overcrowding that the school is experiencing.</p>
		<p>Is this for all changes? Secondary schools? This part of the survey isn't clear.</p>

<p>The proposed changes • Will not apply to students already attending an option school as of June 2018. • Will apply to students seeking - admissions to an option school OR a transfer to another neighborhood school - Effective for 2018-19 and subsequent school years</p>		
		<p>so this doesn't affect students applying to schools for 2017-2018? There has been conflicting info about when changes will take place. APS needs to make this VERY clear.</p>
<p>We need to launch this policy change as soon as possible. I support the timing.</p>		
		<p>Siblings - who will attend the same school in 2018-19 or later - of students currently attending an options school should get preference for the same option school as the older sibling.</p>
	<p>It's not clear who the list of options schools are!</p>	
	<p>It should also NOT apply to children attending any school (including neighborhood) as of June 2018.</p>	
		<p>This is fine as long as we address boundary changes next year to address likely capacity issues caused by the changes. New projections need to be done before next year together with possible boundary changes around the Team Cluster schools impacted by the changes.</p>

The proposed changes • Will not apply to students already attending an option school as of June 2018. • Will apply to students seeking - admissions to an option school OR a transfer to another neighborhood school - Effective for 2018-19 and subsequent school years

	Younger siblings should be guaranteed admission to the same elementary school as their older siblings (if still in attendance)	To do otherwise would be EXTREMELY burdensome on parents- 2 different drop offs and pick ups would be extrememly hard for families with 2 working parents, as well as greatly reduce the amout of time spent volunteering at school (parents would be less vested in each school)
	This will not resolve the over crowding issue in some of the schools and it will actually make it worse. Siblings will be split.	