

Survey Title: Input on Middle School Boundary Change Illustrative & Draft Blended Maps**Report Type: Verbatim****Language: All**

Start Date :4-Oct-17

End Date :18-Oct-17

Completed :1,253

Q2(d). Please enter your thoughts below: Efficiency

SR No.	Response No.	Response Text
1	12	Essential to preserve resources for capacity issues elsewhere
2	29	Capacity numbers look good with this option
3	30	Same as proximity?
4	36	Efficiency in not having buses all over the roads,
5	44	On the bus too long
6	45	Efficiency is important and should be considered.
7	46	stay within budget/CIP, no more slides or pet projects
8	56	Scenario 1I- Efficiency Single Consideration
9	57	Most important, avoid overcrowding
10	66	This is going to be most important.
11	83	Number one: if it isn't this will be done again in 2 years.
12	84	Top priority.
13	91	Cost should be managed more than all but proximity
14	94	Bus transportation is already struggling to keep up
15	107	Students within walking distance should go to that school
16	111	No bussing for kids who can walk to their neighborhood school
17	124	Must show operating and capital costs of each option
18	125	3rd
19	128	County should minimize \$ spent on busing
20	132	Educational costs in APS are exceedingly high.
21	133	Important criteria for family, school.
22	139	Do not make overcrowding worse at poorer schools
23	140	saving capital costs
24	153	Bus costs for students who were walkers will be too high
25	156	#1 Relieving overcrowding to the most number of schools
26	159	3rd most important to save costs
27	165	Factor to consider
28	176	Common sense
29	184	important
30	205	budgets are always tight and we should make smart changes

31	231	3 blocks to and you walk & you want my child bused. Wasteful
32	257	The whole point is to avoid overcrowding
33	259	minimizing future costs should be a priority.
34	267	Forth most important
35	296	Common sense
36	301	Convenient to home, walkable
37	302	High school boundaries should be considered
38	305	CRITICAL to be efficient
39	319	minimizing future costs will benefit all of Arlington
40	320	3
41	363	Important for busing
42	368	Busing away from neighborhood school increases costs
43	370	?
44	373	Save \$\$ on bus costs and keep walkers walking, bikers biking
45	386	Walking and getting home quickly
46	390	Get it done right the first time please
47	392	smaller classes can help take stress off teachers/students
48	395	Very important. Get the capacity utilization numbers right
49	398	#1. Critical.
50	399	Address overcrowding by balancing enrollment between schools
51	402	combo of transportation(proximity) & demographics(diversity)
52	408	how different from Proximity?
53	419	Closest walkers should be at their neighborhood school.
54	426	We need to balance overcrowding equally
55	434	What does this mean?
56	435	Why kill the environment and our kids with busing
57	440	Use less buses and don't make kids spend much time on them.
58	445	we should minimize bus/car traffic and everyone's time
59	454	more efficient to have walkers
60	469	Minimum Transport to/from school; cost
61	475	Don't waste money bussing kids long distances.
62	516	better ressources management
63	527	Important
64	542	Stagger times for buses
65	547	Smaller class sizes are beneficial to students and teachers

66	555	Closely tied with Proximity
67	559	This is not efficient for bussing issues
68	563	Makes the most sense in terms of tax dollars
69	567	Why waste money on more busses / bus drivers.
70	570	Should be a priority along with Demographics
71	571	Do not put more buses on the road, increasing costs,
72	578	Going to middle school (Swanson) within walking, no bus need
73	582	It's a small county
74	613	We need to reduce busing cost and the burden on the system
75	631	Sibling preference - children can't attend separate schools
76	637	Important.
77	640	Don't bus kids across town and create more traffic
78	652	not efficient if busing kids w/i blocks of school 2 south
79	673	Carbon foot print is key to me.
80	685	Evaluated option program locations for added flexibility.
81	693	Cost should be a factor
82	698	It's important to be efficient rather than unnecessary spend
83	706	will extra buses be needed if you all change the boundaries?
84	718	Your problem, not our kids'!
85	725	no to 1B or 1F
86	728	Our kids can walk back and forth; efficient for family
87	744	Walking is a must
88	756	Maximize the use of space so kids aren't in trailers.
89	763	best use of tax dollars please!
90	767	Not cost-effective to shift current walkers to the bus.
91	776	Lowest priority, focus on excellent school system
92	780	What does this mean?
93	789	Williamsburg should not be undercapacity in the final map
94	793	Needs to be consider, to help with growth and spending in co
95	803	that you do not want my thoughts because of this
96	804	current walkers should remain walkers
97	811	#2 priority - minimize cost increases.
98	815	Allows more extracurricular, green space & "fun" curriculum
99	816	one of the main reasons this project has started.
100	820	We should be working to make traffic better not worse

101	821	Limit bussing; Make traffic better not worse!
102	840	Busing kids in walk zones creates expense
103	843	Tax payers pay for most of the growing cost of schools
104	850	No one should ride 25+minutes on a bus!
105	851	Greater efficiency means more resources to fund education.
106	859	Important! Keep costs down, no unnecessarily long bus rides
107	861	Upper and lower limits should be observed
108	865	walking is cheaper than busing more kids.
109	876	Costs are critical. Please show costs of each option
110	877	Plan 1F does a good job with only 1 school at over 110%.
111	878	Plan 1F does a good job with only 1 school at over 110%.
112	879	With over-crowding, no MS should be less than 95% capacity!!
113	880	What if the tax base suffers in the future?
114	892	1J is by far the best.
115	893	# 1 priority. All kids benefit from right-sizes schools
116	895	Walkability, environmental concerns, community, cohesiveness
117	901	Schools already have a large budget. Don't add busing costs.
118	902	Plan 1J does a great job. Keep walkers walking.
119	904	Efficiency should be considered for school and families
120	909	Lower crowding and keep education at its highest
121	911	Minimize costs such as busing
122	918	Capacity needs to be even & need to keep walkers walking.
123	919	factor of proximity &APS must control costs where able
124	920	Los costos de educacion continuaran subiendo.
125	922	Very important
126	927	Of bussing and students able to walk or bike
127	930	TJ should not be over crowded while others have lower #s
128	937	with huge capacity issues. Building efficiency must matter
129	942	Busing to Kenmore? It was rejected for a HS b/c of traffic!
130	947	Irrelevant in a count as small as ours. All buses are in ?
131	960	Reduce GHG emissions
132	973	Overcrowding stifles learning
133	984	More efficient for school to be close by neighborhood.
134	1,008	Closeness is key to school activities.
135	1,013	Save costs; no tax increase
136	1,015	don't bus those w/in walking distance

137	1,019	Important consideration
138	1,023	Capacity utilization is very important
139	1,025	Minimize # of buses; encourage walking/alt. transit
140	1,034	Minimize busing
141	1,040	We need to try to spread the population as best we can.
142	1,041	Spread the population
143	1,044	Do not spend money to bus students who can walk!
144	1,052	All MS, including Williamsburg, must be utilized over 95% !!
145	1,058	Let my children walk. Please don't bus my children..
146	1,060	Find a solution that gets all MS under 110% capacity.
147	1,062	Move county-wide MS programs central to ease capacity issues
148	1,066	Concerned that all options have schools over 110% very soon.
149	1,070	If you can walk, don't bus.
150	1,077	What does this mean?
151	1,081	Saves \$ and better for long term planning
152	1,085	Proximity leads to Efficiency.
153	1,087	More buses is INEFFICIENT
154	1,090	VERY opposed to plan 1H - causes over-crowding.
155	1,093	Walking instead of busing kids increases efficiency
156	1,104	Avoid long travel & commute, shorter easier trips to school.
157	1,105	Avoid long travel & commute, shorter easier trips to school.
158	1,123	Minimize time spent on buses - kids need HW time and sleep
159	1,125	important to me
160	1,131	Walking is most efficient, then close in buses.
161	1,132	Yes, a very important consideration
162	1,136	We view preserving walkers as a key element of efficiency
163	1,144	Walkers are a key element of efficiency
164	1,145	No sense in busing without reducing # of overcrowded schools
165	1,155	Boundaries should not increase distance and time to school
166	1,156	Minimize future capital+operating incl buses. 1J does that!
167	1,162	Solution offers least busing
168	1,167	The cost and logistics of the busing proposal is impractical
169	1,168	APS usually wrong about future - do what's right for today.
170	1,170	Class size important, but schools should be able to scale
171	1,222	Works as a single consideration, but not belnded.

172	1,224	Busing walkers ANYWHERE is NOT efficient!
173	1,235	Don't bus students that walk/bike now.
174	1,239	Prefer public school money to go to teacher salaries & tools
175	1,241	good to keep in mind efficient use of limited o/m resources
176	1,246	Good to be fiscally responsible
177	1,247	Do NOT increase spending on bus operations. Too expensive!
178	1,248	Better. But use natural boundaries like Lee Hwy for walkers
179	1,251	Very important, must be fiscally responsible